

Nussle	Rush	Tauscher
Oberstar	Ryan (WI)	Tauzin
Obey	Ryun (KS)	Taylor (NC)
Olver	Sabo	Terry
Ortiz	Salmon	Thomas
Ose	Sanchez	Thompson (CA)
Oxley	Sanders	Thompson (MS)
Packard	Sandlin	Thornberry
Pallone	Sawyer	Thune
Pascarell	Saxton	Thurman
Pastor	Scarborough	Tiahrt
Payne	Schakowsky	Tierney
Pease	Scott	Toomey
Pelosi	Serrano	Towns
Peterson (MN)	Sessions	Trafficant
Peterson (PA)	Shadegg	Turner
Petri	Shaw	Udall (CO)
Phelps	Sherman	Udall (NM)
Pickering	Sherwood	Upton
Pickett	Shimkus	Velazquez
Pitts	Shows	Visclosky
Pombo	Shuster	Walden
Pomeroy	Simpson	Walsh
Porter	Sisisky	Wamp
Portman	Skeen	Waters
Price (NC)	Skelton	Watkins
Pryce (OH)	Slaughter	Watt (NC)
Quinn	Smith (NJ)	Watts (OK)
Radanovich	Smith (TX)	Waxman
Rahall	Smith (WA)	Weiner
Ramstad	Snyder	Weldon (FL)
Rangel	Souder	Weldon (PA)
Regula	Spence	Weller
Reyes	Spratt	Wexler
Reynolds	Stabenow	Weygand
Riley	Stark	Whitfield
Rivers	Stearns	Wicker
Rodriguez	Strickland	Wilson
Roemer	Stump	Wise
Rogan	Stupak	Wolf
Rogers	Sweeney	Woolsey
Ros-Lehtinen	Talent	Wu
Rothman	Tancred	Wynn
Roybal-Allard	Tanner	Young (FL)

## NAYS—25

Archer	Hunter	Schaffer
Cannon	Johnson, Sam	Sensenbrenner
Chabot	Kasich	Shays
Coburn	Largent	Smith (MI)
Cox	Miller (FL)	Stenholm
Crane	Paul	Sununu
DeLay	Rohrabacher	Taylor (MS)
Hefley	Royce	
Hostettler	Sanford	

## NOT VOTING—18

Ackerman	Jefferson	Meeks (NY)
Callahan	Klink	Owens
Campbell	Lazio	Roukema
Davis (FL)	McCollum	Vento
Delahunt	McDermott	Vitter
Holden	McIntosh	Young (AK)

□ 1708

Mr. SHAYS changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. EVERETT and Mr. SHADEGG changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I was absent and unable to vote on roll-call No. 459.

I would have voted in favor of the motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 4844.

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 4844.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WALDEN of Oregon). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. DOGGETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, I have asked to address the House for 1 minute to inquire about next week's schedule.

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. DOGGETT. I yield to the gentleman from Missouri.

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Texas for yielding, and I am pleased to announce that the House has completed its legislative business for the week. There will be no vote in the House tomorrow. The House will next meet on Tuesday, September 12, at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business, following a pro forma session meeting at noon on Monday.

We will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to the Members' offices tomorrow. On Tuesday, no recorded votes are expected before 6 p.m.

On Wednesday and the balance of the week, the House will consider the following measures:

H.R. 4461, the District of Columbia Appropriations Act;

H.R. 4516, the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act Conference Report;

And a veto override on H.R. 4810, the Marriage Tax Penalty Relief Reconciliation Act.

The schedule will be released tomorrow, and the whip notice will reflect the entire schedule for next week.

I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. DOGGETT. Reclaiming my time, Mr. Speaker, it looks like there are some rather familiar titles here, and I am wondering if the gentleman could indicate, other than the addition of the suspensions, whether we expect anything new next week or just what we did not reach this week.

Mr. BLUNT. If the gentleman will continue to yield, with the exception of suspensions, and barring some discussion with committees, which we will certainly have, as we need to get our work done this month, this looks like it is the schedule for next week.

Mr. DOGGETT. With this short list, would the gentleman anticipate we would have any late nights, any night next week?

Mr. BLUNT. I would not anticipate we would have any late nights next week. Of course, we do need to get our work done, and that would be subject to change, but at this point we would be looking at those votes after 6 p.m. on Tuesday and then no late evenings next week.

Mr. DOGGETT. Does the gentleman have any indication of which day we

would expect the vote on the marriage penalty veto override attempt?

Mr. BLUNT. I think it is early anticipating that vote would be on Wednesday.

Mr. DOGGETT. And with reference to next Friday, does the gentleman anticipate whether we will be able to get a notice, as we have been today, that there would be no votes next Friday?

Mr. BLUNT. I think it is early to make that determination. We are still working with the White House and the committee chairmen on a number of different issues; of course working with the other body to get conference reports done as quickly as possible. I cannot say what we will be doing on Friday.

I think we ought to prepare to be here on Friday, but certainly we could very well find out this time next week we are in the same situation we are in right now as we wait for these conference reports to reach some ability to get to the floor and to the White House.

Mr. DOGGETT. I believe the previously published schedule had us out by at least 2 p.m. next Friday. The gentleman would not anticipate we would go beyond that?

Mr. BLUNT. I would anticipate we would be out no later than 2 p.m. on Friday.

Mr. DOGGETT. I thank the gentleman for his courtesy and wish him a good weekend.

Mr. BLUNT. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY,  
SEPTEMBER 11, 2000

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at noon on Monday, September 11, 2000.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

HOUR OF MEETING ON TUESDAY,  
SEPTEMBER 12, 2000

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns on Monday, September 11, 2000, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday, September 12, 2000, for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR  
WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON  
WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.